

**To:** Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**From:** Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
**Sent:** Thur 11/15/2012 3:57:11 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Returning your email  
[cid:1\\_08BBF025DFF2284B8f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:cid:1_08BBF025DFF2284B8f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov)  
[cid:1\\_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:cid:1_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov)  
<mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>  
[cid:1\\_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:cid:1_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov)  
<mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>  
[cid:1\\_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:cid:1_08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov)  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf>  
[http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf)  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pdf>  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf>  
<mailto:adam.voge@trib.com>  
<http://www.trib.com/>  
[image001.gif](#)

Richard,

Thanks for this. If you don't mind my (also off the record) question, why is the below off the record? It would seem to clarify a lot in the case of a possible story about what the notes say.

Please let me know when you get a chance. I'm just getting back to work but I'll talk with my editors as soon as they get in.

Thanks,

Adam Voge

Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune

307-266-0561

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 2:42 PM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Thanks, Adam. Here's an off the record clarification.

1. Notation about loose fitting in field activity log.

There was no spill. A loose fitting was identified as a potential problem and repaired. As noted in the daily log, no diesel lost: "Nega (negative) lost."

2. Notes on activity at (b) (6) property.

An inspection of the (b) (6) property on August 17, 2010 indicated no spills at the site. EPA contractors also reported no open antifreeze containers or cement bags, however contractors did perform general housekeeping and made sure materials at the site were secure. Primary concerns of property owner reported during the visit included a broken fence post, some damage to irrigation ditches, and rutting of soil in areas.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

Adam Voge ---11/14/2012 02:34:31 PM---Richard, Sounds like we went through more or less the same thing. As for the off the record comment,

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/14/2012 02:34 PM  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Richard,

Sounds like we went through more or less the same thing. As for the off the record comment, I'd like to see it. We can discuss what to do next after that.

Thanks,

Adam

---

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [Myllott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 11:57 AM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Adam-- I hope you aren't battling the hellish cold i'm enduring.

Since we are in a public comment period and anticipating an independent peer-review, I can get you an off the record, background response on this. If that's ok, i can send you an email.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

[cid:1\_\_=08BBF025DFF2284B8f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov]Adam Voge ---11/14/2012 10:47:34 AM---Richard, Where do we stand

with this clarification? I'm out sick today but I'll be checking my email

---

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/14/2012 10:47 AM  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

---

Richard,

Where do we stand with this clarification? I'm out sick today but I'll be checking my email often. If you can give me an idea of where things stand, I would really appreciate it.

Thanks,

Adam

---

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:10 PM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Thanks. I'll look to get some clarification next week.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

[cid:1\_\_=08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov]Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 03:07:07 PM---Richard, We definitely want to talk to whoever we can about what the notes mean, and we're willing t

---

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/09/2012 03:07 PM  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

---

Richard,

We definitely want to talk to whoever we can about what the notes mean, and we're willing to hold off until we can do so.

Please let me know when we might be able to have a discussion and figure out exactly what happened to better understand the situation.

Thanks,

Adam Voge  
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune  
307-266-0561

---

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 2:47 PM

To: Adam Voge  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Adam--is your story about this solely? if so, any room to wait? On background: I spent some time on this but will need til next week to get in touch with folks familiar who worked in field and with contractors. i'm looking at these notations and, as i'm sure you understand, need to check with field staff about what they mean and don't mean. unless i'm missing something?-- which is entirely possible as some of these notes are pretty rough--i don't see any reference to "spill" anywhere.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

[cid:1\_\_=08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov]Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 02:08:35 PM---Thanks. Let me know what we are able to clarify or talk about today. I'm definitely interested in th

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/09/2012 02:08 PM  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

---

Thanks.

Let me know what we are able to clarify or talk about today. I'm definitely interested in the nature of the diesel spill seemingly indicated below and why that wasn't in the draft report, and then whether there actually was antifreeze or cement spilled (referred to as "accusations," it appears).

Adam Voge  
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune  
307-266-0561

---

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 12:58 PM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: Re: Returning your email

Thanks, Adam-- looking into these. These are clarifies that would need to come from field staff, including folks in our lab in Oklahomathat aren't in the office today.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

[cid:1\_\_=08BBF022DFEA27508f9e8a93@epamail.epa.gov]Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 11:38:46 AM---Richard, The reply button sent my response to a different address - just wanted to be sure this got

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/09/2012 11:38 AM  
Subject: Returning your email

Richard,

The reply button sent my response to a different address – just wanted to be sure this got through. Here's what I sent:

I was looking through the field notes from the Pavillion well installation and saw a couple things which I'd like some clarification on.

<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf>

On the above link, first page, bottom-right quadrant, there's a passage that appears to say "diesel fuel lost from fitting, repaired."

In the original EPA draft report, [http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf), it said this:

"There were no incidents of fuel spillage used to power pumps and generators."

Next, this link:

<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pdf>

If you scroll about three-quarters of the way down to Aug. 17, there's a passage where it talks about investigation accusations of antifreeze and cement spills (or at least that's what it looks like).

Last, this: <ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf>  
About halfway down, Aug. 18 notes, there's a mention of a "clean up effort."

Can we talk on the phone about this? That's definitely my preference with something like this. I'm just trying to figure out what these different passages mean.

For the record, this email is typically a better way to contact me. The other is a personal address tied to my cell phone, which is great when I'm out of the office.

Thanks,

Adam Voge  
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune  
(307) 266-0561 | [adam.voge@trib.com](mailto:adam.voge@trib.com)<<mailto:adam.voge@trib.com>>  
Twitter: [@vogeCST](https://twitter.com/vogeCST)  
[www.trib.com](http://www.trib.com)<<http://www.trib.com/>>